Bernell Brund. Revision for some Prin Revision for some ISSN: 2456-0057 Bernell Frederic (SIFE 5.43 | UCC Assessed for some Print Consider S 2016: 58.07


INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF PHYSIOLOGY, NUTRITION AND PHYSICAL EDUCATION

Visions 3

Brent til B

fan - fin

2018



BALAN PUNEICATIONS NEW DEEMI, INDIA

Year: 2018, Volume: 3, Issue: 1

ISSN: 2456-0057

Impact Factor: (RJIF): 5.43

Online Available at www.journalofsports.com



International Journal of Physiology, Nutrition and Physical Education

Index for 2018 (Vol - 3, Issue - 1) Part - L

01. Role of motor fitness and playing ability in sports: A case study of women hockey players Authored by: Nitesh Page: 632-634

02. Impact of gym training on players Authored by: Poonam Page: 635-637

03. Role of yoga in reducing depression Authored by: Ritu Page: 638-640

04. Effect of yogic practice on health related physical fitness of school boys Authored by: Sudhir Dnyaneshwarrao Pathare Page: 641-643

05. Comparison of levels of depression in urban and rural students Authored by: Dr. Khushal Jagtrao Alaspure Page: 644-646


06. Relationship of muscle strength with muscle flexibility Authored by: Dr. Shrikant S Mahulkar Page: 647-649

07. Comparison of motor fitness components of volleyball and handball players Authored by: Devanand B Sawarkar Page: 650-651

08. Aerobic exercise for enhancing physical fitness and healthy life being Authored by: Dr. S Jagadeeswari Bharathiraja Page: 652-654

09. A comparative study on participation motivation among graduate and post graduate students of physical education Authored by: Daksh Sharma Page: 655-656

10. A study of state anxiety, trait anxiety and overall anxiety of unsuccessful male and female sports groups Authored by: Dr. Ajay Kumar Page: 657-659



ISSN: 2456-0057 IJPNPE 2018; 3(1): 647-649 C 2018 LIPNPE www.journalofsports.com Received: 14-11-2017 Accepted: 16-12-2017

Dr. Shrikant S Mahulkar Director of Physical Education, Late Dattatraya pusadkar Arts College, Nandgaon peth. Amrayati, Maharashtra, India

Relationship of muscle strength with muscle flexibility

Dr. Shrikant S Mahulkar

Abstract
The purpose of the study was to find out the relationship of muscle strength with muscle flexibility. For the purpose of study forty male college students from different college of Amravati district were selected. Their age ranged from 18-25 years of age. For the present study researcher selected 30 subjects. In the present study the researcher adopted purposive sampling method for the selection of subjects. To find out the relationship between muscle strength with muscle flexibility, the descriptive statistics (i. e. Mean and Standard deviation) and correlation coefficient of grip strength, arm strength, abdominal strength, leg strength and flexibility was taken and significance was set at 0.05 levels. Result: There was significant relationship of flexibility with abdominal strength. But insignificant relationship of flexibility with right hand grip strength, left hand grip strength, arm strength and leg strength.

Keywords: muscle strength, muscle flexibility

Introduction

It is often said that strength training has a negative effect on flexibility. For example, someone who develops large bulk through strength training is often referred to as "muscle-bound". The term muscle-bound has negative connotations in terms of the ability of that athlete to move. We tend to think of athletes who have highly developed muscles as having lost much of their ability to move freely through a full range of motion. Occasionally an athlete develops so much bulk that the physical size of the muscle prevents a normal range of motion. It is certainly true that strength training that is not properly done can impair movement; however, there is no reason to believe that weight training, if done properly through a full range of motion, will impair flexibility. Proper strength training probably improves dynamic flexibility and, if combined with a rigorous stretching program, can greatly enhance powerful and coordinated movements that are essential for success in many athletic activities. In all cases a heavy weight-training program should be accompanied by a strong flexibility program [1].

Methodology

For the purpose of study forty male college students from different college of Amravati district were selected. Their age ranged from 18-25 years of age. For the present study researcher selected 30 subjects. In the present study the researcher adopted purposive sampling method for the selection of subjects.

Equipments used for collection of data

Following equipment was used for collection of data:

Sr. No.	Variables	Test
- 1	Grip Strength	Grip Dynamometer
- 2	Arm strength	Pull-Ups
3	Abdominal Strength	Bent knee Sit-Ups
5	Leg Strength	Standing Broad Jump
	Flexibility	Sit and Rich Test

Statistical analysis

To find out the relationship between muscle strength with muscle flexibility, the descriptive statistics (i. e. Mean and Standard descriptive statistics). statistics (i. e. Mean and Standard deviation) and correlation coefficient of grip strength, arm

Correspondence Dr. Shrikant S Mahulkar Director of Physical Education. Late Dattatraya pusadkar Arts College, Nandgaon peth, Amrayati, Maharashtra, India

artistical Journal of Physiology, Nutrition and a 119.1 Springth, abdominal strength, leg strength and flexibility was taken springth, abdominal strength, leg strength and flexibility was taken spength abdominal successful was set at 0.05 levels. Mean scores and standard

deviation of all selected variables are presented in Table - I

Table I: Mean and standard deviation of all selected variables

Variables	Mean	avacut.
Left hand grip strength	31.10	SD
Right hand grip strength	32.47	3.60
Arm strength	10.60	5.30
Abdominal Strength	26.40	7.31
Leg Strength	207.90	13.38
Flexibility	13.53	4.49

The analysis of the data shows the results of the study of The analysis stated variables, right hand grip strength, left hand grip grength, arm strength, abdominal strength, leg strength and flexibility. The mean + Standard deviation of left hand grip strength in the test were (31.10±3.60) right hand grip strength (32.47)5.30), arm strength (10.60±3.02), abdominal strength (26.40+7.31), leg strength (207.90+13.38) and flexibility (13.53+4.49) respectively.

Table 1. Relationship of flexibility with muscle strength of college students

Variables	Correlation Coefficient
Left hand grip strength	0.255
Right hand grip strength	0.219
Arm strength	0.126
Abdominal Strength	0.513*
Leg Strength	0.159

Significant at .05 level of confidence .05(28) 0.361


Table-2 reveals that flexibility of college students was agnificantly correlated to left hand grip strength (r=0.255) which was not statistically significant as the value obtained were much less than the tabulated value (0.361) with 0.05 significant level.

Table-2 reveals that flexibility of college students was significantly correlated to right hand grip strength (r=0.219) which was not statistically significant as the value obtained were much less than the tabulated value (0.361) with 0.05 significant level.

Table-2 reveals that flexibility of college students was significantly correlated to arm strength (r=0.126) which was not statistically significant as the value obtained were much less than the tabulated value (0.361) with 0.05 significant level.

Table-2 reveals that flexibility of college students was significantly correlated to abdominal strength (r=0.513) which was statistically significant as the value obtained were much greater than the tabulated value (0.361) with 0.05 significant level.

Table-2 reveals that flexibility of college students was significantly correlated to leg strength (r=0.159) which was not statistically significant as the value obtained were much less than the tabulated value (0.361) with 0.05 significant level.



Graph 1: Relationship of flexibility with right hand grip strength, left hand grip strength, arm strength, abdominal strength and leg strength

Within the limitations of the present study and on the basis of the findings the following conclusions were drawn.

There was insignificant relationship of flexibility with right hand grip strength.

There was insignificant relationship of flexibility with left hand grip strength.

There was insignificant relationship of flexibility with arm strength.

There was significant relationship of flexibility with

abdominal strength.

There was insignificant relationship of flexibility with leg strength.

- http://www.trainingmedicine.com/2011/11/relationshipbetween-muscle-strength. Html. 06.10.2016/10:08 AM.
- Neha Mishra, Archana Chahal. Relationship of Muscle Mass with Anaerobic Power of National level Male Throwers. International Journal of Physical Education